



285190

CMA 1002-192

Division Bench (Criminal)
/- APPEAL OF PRISONER

No. 3209

Name

मल्लिका मंगड

Father's Name

देवाResidence ग्राम - डुकी डोगापाटाAge 27 sentenced toआजीवन कारावासथाना - डुकी डोगापाटा
0 - बसंत6-8-92Under Section 302 I.P.C. by सत्र न्यायालय जगदलपुरS.T. No. 285/90

It is explained to the prisoner that if he states or wishes to be represented by a legal practitioner the Appellate Court will not proceed with the case for seven days unless the legal practitioner appears. If the legal practitioner does not appear within seven days he may be heard at all if the prisoner states that he does not wish to be represented by legal practitioner the court may proceed at once with the case and will not be obliged to give a hearing to any legal practitioner who should appear.

1. Date of application for copy of Judgement :- No.

2. Date of which copy received :- 6-8-923. Date on which Appeal sent :- 20-8-92

4. Whether the prisoner wished to be represented or not.

Yes/No

No. 3209

Name

मल्लिकामंगडश्री देवाContinued in 28/90 to 6/92 Jail JagdalpurNo. 135 / October.Dated 20/8/92

Forwarded to the CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE Jagdalpur together with a copy of judgement or order passed in the case for favour of transmission to the proper Appellate Court.

Superintendent, Central Distt. Sub Jail.
(सदर) म.प.

Date of receipt in C.J.M.'s Office.

Date of receipt of record to accompany.

Memo of Appeal of the Appellate Court.

No.

Dated

Forwarded to the

C.J. Magistrate

Date of receipt in Appellate Court

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक Cr. A. No. 1002/92 सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	<u>D.B: HON'BLE SHRI FAKHRUDDIN, HON'BLE SHRI DILIP RAOSAHEB DESHMUKH, JJ</u> <u>26.07.2005</u> Smt. Usha Chandrakar for the appellant. Shri S.S.Rajput, P.L. for the State. Counsel for the State submits that the appellant has been released on bail on 15.08.2004 on the eve of Independence Day, after undergoing sentence. The absence of the appellant and his counsel is also indicative of the fact that the appellant is no longer interested in pursuing this appeal any more. In the above circumstances and in view of the law laid down by the Apex Court in the case of <u>Ram Naresh Yadav and others vs. State of Bihar</u> reported in <u>AIR 1987 SC 1500</u>, the appeal is dismissed for non-prosecution.	
	Sd/- Fakhruddin Judge <i>Anga</i>	Sd/- Dilip Raosaheb Deshmukh Judge